WO 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 7 8 Robin R Kavanaugh, 9 No. CV-19-04771-PHX-MTL Plaintiff, 10 **ORDER** 11 v. 12 Commissioner of Social Security Administration, 13 Defendant. 14 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff Robin R. Kavanaugh's Counsel's Motion for 15 Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1). (Doc. 27.) Section 406(b)(1) authorizes 16 the recovery of reasonable attorney fees from the award of past-due benefits: 17 18 Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under this subchapter who was represented before the court by 19 an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its 20 judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to 21 which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment, and 22 the Commissioner of Social Security may, notwithstanding the provisions of section 405(i) of this title, but subject to 23 subsection (d) of this section, certify the amount of such fee for 24 payment to such attorney out of, and not in addition to, the amount of such past-due benefits. 25 26 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A). 27 Plaintiff prevailed in an appear to this Court from the administrative law judge's

decision denying benefits. The Social Security Administration calculated Plaintiff's past-

28

1 due benefits as \$138,164.00. It withheld \$34,541.00, representing 25 percent of the past-2 due benefits, to pay for potential attorney fees. 3 Having successfully litigated this case, Plaintiff's Counsel seeks an award of 4 \$34,541.00 in fees from Plaintiff's recovery. The Court previously awarded Plaintiff 5 \$5,500.00 in attorney fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). (Doc 26.) 6 Plaintiff's Counsel acknowledges that a § 406(b)(1) fee award must be offset by the 7 previously awarded EAJA attorney fee. 8 The Court finds that the representation agreement between Plaintiff and his Counsel 9 represents a lawful contingency fee agreement. See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 10 (2002). The Court further finds that the requested fee award satisfies the statutory cap of 11 25 percent of the total past-due benefits. The requested fee award is reasonable given the 12 contingent nature of this case, the complexity involved, the work performed, the success 13 obtained, and Counsel's experience. An award of attorney fees under § 406(b)(1) is 14 appropriate. 15 Accordingly, 16 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Motion (Doc. 27) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff's Counsel is 17 awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$34,541.00 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1). 18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Counsel shall reimburse Plaintiff in 19 the amount of \$5,500.00, representing the EAJA fees previously awarded. 20 Dated this 5th day of December 2023. 21 Michael T. Liburdi 22 23 United States District Judge 24 25 26 27 28